



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/037,869	10/23/2001	Lee S. Mighdoll	14531.5.5.1	9062
7590 08/12/2004			EXAMINER	
WORKMAN, NYDEGGER & SEELEY			PRIETO, BEATRIZ	
1000 Eagle Gate Tower 60 East South Temple Salt Lake City, UT 84111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2142	
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. 10/037,869 MIGHDOLL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary Examiner Prieto B 2142 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
Prieto B 2142 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	i .
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 May 2004.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
 4) Claim(s) 2-7,9-16,18-25,27-34,36-42,44 and 45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-7,9-16,18-25,27-34,36-42,44 and 45 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	l).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther: S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL 326 (Pays 1 04) Office Action Summary Part of Paper No /Mail Date 08030	



DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This communication is in response to amendment filed 5/10/04, claims 2-7, 9-16, 18-25, 27-34, 36-42 and 44-45 have been examined and remain pending.
- 2. The following rejections are for the purpose of clarification and to correct minor informalities.
- 3. Claims as amended (5/10/04) overcome the prior art of record and placed application in condition for allowance.

Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C.101

- 4. Claims 2 and 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 which reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- Claim 2 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. In this case, computer-related inventions whether descriptive or functionally descriptive material are non-statutory categories when claimed as descriptive material *per se* (see *Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360 USPQ2d at 1759*), falling under the "process" category (i.e. inventions at that consist of a series of steps or acts to be performed). See 35 U.S.C. 100(b) ("The term process means, art, or method, and includes a new of a known process, machine, manufacture, composition of matter or material"). Functional descriptive material: "data structures" representing descriptive material *per se* or computer program representing computer listing *per se* when embodied in a computer-readable media are still not statutory because they are not capable of causing functional change in the computer. However, claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a data structure defined structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and the computer software and hardware component, which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory (see MPEP 2106).



Application/Control Number: 10/037,869 (MIGHDOLL et. al.)

Art Unit: 2142

Double Patenting Rejection

6. Other copending applications with instant application of common invention and/or assignee (as of

the date of this office action) have been reviewed. Issue patents and copending applications other than

that/those mentioned below do not warrant a double patenting rejection.

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in

public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise

extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple

assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759

F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA

1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163

USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome

an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the

conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37

CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer.

A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 2-7, 9-16, 18-25, 27-34, 36-42, 44-45 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of

obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,332,157.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because

the networked computer system of the patent is in substance the same, the difference between the patent

and instant application, in substance lies that in the patent the client system further comprises a

Best Available Copy

Application/Control Number: 10/037,869 (MIGHDOLL et. al.)

Art Unit: 2142

conventional television set as a display. All the claim limitation in the patented are included on instant

Page 3

application (except for noted difference). Claims of instant application are not patentable distinct from

the earlier patent claims and as such is unpatentable for obvious type double patenting.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Prieto, B. whose telephone number is (703) 305-0750. The Examiner can normally be

reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 to 3:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Jack B. Harvey can be reached on (703) 305-9705. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to the Central Fax Office:

(703) 872-9306, for Official communications and entry;

Or Telephone:

(703) 306-5631 for TC 2100 Customer Service Office.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington

VA, Fourth Floor (Receptionist), further ensuring that a receipt is provided stamped "TC 2100".

B. Prieto TC 2100

Patent Examiner

August 3, 2004

Rest Available Copy